

The potential of using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) for precision pest control of possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*)

Craig G. Morley¹, James Broadley¹, Robin Hartley², David Herries²,
Duncan McMorran³, Ian G. McLean¹

1 Toi Ohomai Institute of Technology, Mokoia Drive, Rotorua 3046, New Zealand **2** Interpine Group Ltd, 99 Sala Street, Rotorua 3010, New Zealand **3** Connovation Ltd, 36B Sir William Ave, East Tamaki, Manukau 2013, New Zealand

Corresponding author: Craig G. Morley (craig.morley@toiohomai.ac.nz)

Academic editor: M.-C. Lefort | Received 1 July 2017 | Accepted 2 October 2017 | Published 23 October 2017

Citation: Morley CG, Broadley J, Hartley R, Herries D, McMorran D, McLean IG (2017) The potential of using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) for precision pest control of possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*). Rethinking Ecology 2: 27–39. <https://doi.org/10.3897/rethinkingecology.2.14821>

Abstract

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) and remote image sensing cameras have considerable potential for use in pest control operations. UAVs equipped with remote sensing cameras could be flown over forests and remnant bush sites, particularly those not currently receiving any pest control, to record the unique spectral signature of the vegetation and to detect the presence of possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) and the damage they cause. UAVs could then be deployed to precisely distribute either toxins or kill traps to these identified locations. Predator-free 2050 is an ambitious policy announced by the New Zealand Government where several pests, including possums, are to be eradicated by the year 2050. In order to achieve this goal, pests must be identified, targeted and controlled, requiring creative and novel ideas. UAVs provide flexibility, can fly in remote and difficult terrain, and are considerably cheaper to purchase and operate than the planes and helicopters currently used in conventional aerial pest control operations. Current challenges associated with UAVs include payload capacity, battery limitations, weather, and flying restrictions. However, these issues are rapidly being resolved with sophisticated technological advances and improved regulations. A directed and targeted approach using UAVs is an additional and novel tool in the pest management toolbox that could significantly reduce pest control costs, cover inaccessible areas not receiving any pest management, and will help New Zealand advance towards its predator-free aspiration by 2050.

Keywords

New Zealand, pest control, forest, Predator-free 2050

INTRODUCTION

Remotely Piloted Aerial Systems (RPAS) or Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) are affordable, have miniaturised GPS receivers with sophisticated navigational capability, and are able to provide accurate high-tech remote sensing imagery (Bagheri 2017). Their use in the applied sciences has developed rapidly due to their operational flexibility and ability to capture high-resolution geospatial information (Shahbazi et al., 2014). Multispectral and hyperspectral sensors attached to UAVs can be flown over most terrain to assess the health of the vegetation below (Shang et al. 2015; Pullanagari et al. 2016). Hyperspectral sensors are able to detect plant stress through colour changes, enabling detection of leaf disease and tree damage (Ehsani and Maja 2013; Honkavaara et al. 2016). Intelligent flight management systems and Geographical Information System (GIS) analysis platforms can now generate repeatable and accurate surveys providing characterisation of many biological variables, including vegetation (Eisenbeiss 2009; Strecha et al. 2012; Heaphy et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2017).

UAVs are routinely being used to: estimate tree crown diameters, tree fall and canopy openness (Inoue et al. 2014; Panagiotidis et al. 2017; Perroy et al. 2017); detect and map invasive species (Gonzalez et al. 2016; Müllerová et al. 2017); detect nutrient and water deficiencies in agricultural crops (Gago et al. 2014; Salamí et al. 2014; Martínez et al. 2017); and assist in the management of insect pests (Faïçal et al. 2014; Näsi et al. 2015; Severtson et al. 2016). UAVs are also being trialled to deliver merchandise from retailers, such as Amazon's "Prime Air" service (Amazon 2017). Operational regulations are rapidly advancing, especially in New Zealand (CAA; Price, *personal communication*), allowing UAVs to be deployed for an even wider range of activities (Perroy et al. 2017; Torresan et al. 2017). Here, we propose another use for UAVs.

New Zealand's landscape (26.8 million ha) is diverse, with glaciers, fiords, rugged mountains, vast rolling alluvial plains, volcanic plateaus, geothermal zones and over 15,000 kms of coastline. Viewed aerially, New Zealand is a mosaic of natural forest managed mainly by the Department of Conservation (DOC), commercial plantation forests, agricultural land (with numerous small shelterbelts and bush remnants predominantly in steep gullies), lakes, rivers, small reserves, and urban areas. Ownership and administration of this fragmented landscape is equally fragmented, involving numerous landowners and councils, multiple land management practices, and a wide range of views about the need for and value of pest management.

CURRENT STATUS OF PEST CONTROL IN NEW ZEALAND

About 10 million ha of land in New Zealand is already under active pest management for a variety of conservation, agricultural and economic reasons (Russell et al. 2015; Byrom et al. 2016; Parkes et al. 2017). Currently, two thirds of this pest management work is done by 380 pest-control groups or entities, many of which are voluntary and work relatively close to urban centres (Department of Conservation 2016a; Peters et

al. 2016; LINZ 2017). Most of this is ground-control work is time-consuming and costly. For example, investment by a significant funding agency, the Natural Heritage Fund, only controlled pests over 1.3 percent of New Zealand's land over the last 25 years (Department of Conservation, 2016a).

Most of the more difficult and remote terrain is managed aerially by broadcasting 1080 (sodium fluoroacetate) to control pests (Goldson et al. 2015; Byrom et al. 2016). In 2014, 1080 was applied to over 694,000 ha during a mast seeding year to protect native birds (Elliot and Kemp 2016), with 845,839 ha planned for an expected mast seeding event in 2017 (Department of Conservation, 2016b). Mast seeding years are now known to create massive predatory pressures on native wildlife (King and Moller 1997). In 2015/16, TBfree NZ controlled brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) over 5,883,107 ha using both aerial and ground procedures, freeing 1.5 million ha from the threat of bovine tuberculosis (TB: *Mycobacterium bovis*). An additional 1 million ha is planned to be TBfree by 2026 (OSPRI 2016).

Many current pest control methodologies are limited in scope. For example, the control methods used on islands or isolated locations cannot be deployed on many parts of the mainland for social and ecological reasons (Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment 2011; Niemiec et al. 2017), ground-control operators cannot work in hazardous terrain, and for financial reasons, helicopters or fixed-wing aircraft are not used to control pests over small areas. Not surprisingly, areas with low pest numbers are quickly excluded when budgets tighten. Possums and some other pest mammals carry TB, and are therefore a threat to farm animals. Thus, a significant portion of the funding for pest management in agricultural areas is due to management of TB in pest populations. If TB disappears, so does the funding.

The Department of Conservation manages about 8.6 million hectares for the Crown (Department of Conservation 2016a). However, financial constraints mean that some areas are ignored. For example, the Waima and Mataraua Forests in Northland (c. 4000 ha) are called 'ghost forests' by the local communities because they receive no sustained pest control (Baigent-Mercer 2015). Tongariro Forest Park (15,000 ha) is an important stewardship area that received aerial pest control in 2001 and again in 2014 (OSPRI 2016). Such sporadic control likely means multiple source opportunities for pest reinvasion. Thus, the status quo delivers regular and large-scale compromises on the overall viability of pest control and New Zealand cannot become predator-free using current approaches. At best, current methods deliver short-term biodiversity protection and a requirement for further investment (Griffiths and Barron 2016).

Other issues affecting sustainability of pest management include a requirement to obtain consents to undertake poisoning operations in some areas and cultural issues in relation to resource ownership by indigenous Māori (Jacobson and Stephens 2009). Further, a lack of coordination of pest control efforts by different management entities can result in a lack of synchrony between adjacent pest control operations.

Despite the enormous investment and ongoing pest control work, many parts of New Zealand still receive little or no pest control including many commercial and private forests, agricultural land with patches of bush/forest, localised reserves, and alpine areas.

These areas may be geographically inaccessible, have low pest numbers, or have restricted access, and can support reservoir pest populations when pest control is undertaken nearby. Unfortunately, the large-scale pest management programmes run by organisations such as DOC or TBFREE NZ (Department of Conservation, 2016a; OSPRI, 2016) are potentially compromised because adjacent areas may receive no pest control.

PREDATOR FREE 2050

New Zealand is an acknowledged leader in the development and application of pest management methods, for example, to protect biodiversity or eliminate TB (Gormley et al. 2015; Holmes et al. 2015; Jones et al. 2016). Recently, the New Zealand government announced an ambitious policy to be “Predator-free New Zealand” by 2050. This ambitious concept targets eradication of introduced mammalian pests, including possums and an array of rodents and mustelids (Russell et al. 2015). The policy will require innovative ideas and technologies and a holistic synchronised effort by all land-owners across New Zealand (Niemiec et al. 2017).

Realistically, the reliance on thousands of trap-lines and aerially bombarding some large remote areas with 1080 every few years (Byrom et al. 2016) is ultimately unsustainable. Consequently, in order to be predator-free by 2050, there needs to be a quantum shift in current thinking and practice. UAVs offer one such opportunity. We therefore propose deploying UAVs, first, as a monitoring tool to detect possums and the damage they cause (Dandois and Ellis 2013; Lisein et al. 2013; Zahawi et al. 2015), and second, to deliver a precise load of toxin (or kill-traps) to populations not currently under any control programme. This approach would reduce a reliance on labour-intensive and often imprecise monitoring systems such as the foliar browse index (Payton et al. 1999).

WHAT UAVS CAN BRING TO THE PICTURE?

Detection of foliage damage using hyperspectral signatures is now achievable and could be used to enable rapid detection of pest activity (Lehmann et al. 2015; Windley et al. 2016), or even of the pests themselves (Chrétien et al. 2016; Gonzalez et al. 2016). Possums are known to selectively defoliate tree species including kamahi (*Weinmannia racemosa*), northern rātā (*Metrosideros robusta*), kohekohe (*Dysoxylum spectabile*), tree fuchsia (*Fuchsia excorticata*), and other plant species (Pekelharing et al. 1998; Sweetapple and Nugent 1999; Gormley et al. 2012; Holland et al. 2013). Pests also target young pine (*Pinus radiata*) trees in commercial plantations (Clout 1977; Keber 1983). Identifying that damage using remote sensing cameras on UAVs (Dandois and Ellis 2013; Shang et al. 2015; Torresan et al. 2017) is a new tool that could enable managers to precisely target pest management activities. Once possums or browse damage are identified, UAVs could deliver baits or self-setting kill traps to precise locations (Carter

et al. 2016). UAVs could also be used to transport kill-traps and baits to specified locations in advance of the arrival of a ground-based field team. Although UAV technology is still in its infancy, precision deployment of UAVs has already been used to spray pesticides on crops (Faïçal et al. 2014), control invasive weeds (Huang et al. 2009; Rasmussen et al. 2013), manage wildfires (Christensen 2015), initiate back-burning fires by dropping balls filled with potassium permanganate powder (Reed 2015), and deliver biological control agents over soya bean crops (Rangel 2016).

Currently, 1080 is the only toxin registered for aerial application. Because of the controversy surrounding 1080 (Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment 2011; Goldson et al. 2015), researchers are exploring a range of new baits and delivery mechanisms (Eason and Ogilvie 2009; Blackie et al. 2014, 2016; Shapiro et al. 2016). A new delivery method currently under development is woven flax packets/balls (flax bombs) containing toxin and coated in a thin layer of wax. Toxins currently undergoing registration include diphacinone/cholecalciferol mix for possums and norbormide for rats (Eason et al. 2015; Choi et al. 2016). Delivery of these toxins could be tested using flax bombs, and UAVs should provide a suitable delivery mechanism. A pilot study conducted near Rotorua showed both possums and rats consuming non-toxic flax bombs (*personal observations*).

While toxins are not always welcome, targeted methodologies could allay fears by enabling precision delivery of baits or kill traps. Morgan et al. (2006) suggested that controlling possums around the 'perimeter' of an area (a novel approach) is just as cost-effective as broadcast control of the entire site (the traditional approach). Nugent et al. (2012) achieved >90% kill rates for possums using cluster-sowing of baits in forests, whilst reducing toxin use by up to 80%. Nugent and Morriss (2013) recommended delivering small clusters of bait (0.17 kg ha⁻¹) every 150 m in remote high country areas, rather than conventional aerial broadcasting. They achieved significant operational cost savings and considerably reduced the amount of toxin used. The reductions in volume demonstrated in these studies combined with targeted use of UAVs might be more acceptable to communities that oppose the broadcast distribution of toxins.

After large-scale aerial operations, small remnant populations can be extremely costly to mop up using ground-based methods (Sweetapple and Nugent 2011; Gormley et al. 2015; Holmes et al. 2015). UAVs dropping toxin on remnant nearby populations soon after these large-scale aerial operations could also be used to maintain suppression and minimise reinvasion by possums and rats, which will inevitably appear in the overall control area (Armstrong et al. 2014; Innes et al. 2015; Cowan 2016; Griffiths and Barron 2016; Sweetapple et al. 2016).

CHALLENGES TO USING UAV FOR PRECISION PEST CONTROL

Although a myriad of applications have been suggested for UAVs, several challenges remain. Limitations to operational use include payload capacity, flight-time restrictions,

weather, civil aviation authority (CAA) regulations, and legal constraints. Nevertheless, emerging solutions include user-friendly aerial platforms, larger machines enabling larger payloads, longer flight times, and built-in transponders meaning that pilots no longer need line of sight (Salamí et al. 2014; Gago et al. 2015). In fact, the technology is evolving so quickly that some of the latest off-the-shelf solutions now offer features that address these issues, such as ‘intelligent’ flight batteries, obstacle avoidance sensors, and increased operational safety (Knight 2016; Hartley 2017; Mogg 2017).

The requirement for line of sight flying is still one of the biggest barriers, especially for flight operations over forests where maintaining visual contact can be difficult. In order to carry out operations beyond visual line of sight (BVLOS), the more advanced 102 certification can be granted by New Zealand’s CAA if an operator can manage the high level of safety and risk (Perlman, 2017). New technology such as UTM (UAV Traffic Management Systems) and the ADS-B (Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast) systems are being developed to provide pilots with information on the altitude, velocity and position of any manned aircraft in the area (Kopardekar 2014; Knight 2016; Patterson 2017). New Zealand has perhaps the most progressive CAA in the world, so it will be just a matter of time before the regulations catch up with the advances in UAV technology.

We suggest that UAVs could genuinely revolutionise pest management. Integrated technologies have recently been applied with great effect in New Zealand, such as use of UAVs mounted with thermal cameras to detect hot spots on the Port Hills in Christchurch during a serious fire event (Hartley 2017). The UAV images provided better detail than satellite maps, helicopter-based thermography, or traditional “cold-trailing” ground methods. The images were used to detect hot-spots that were then ground-truthed and dealt with by fire-fighters (Christensen et al. 2017).

CONCLUSION

If New Zealand is to become predator-free, then all of New Zealand requires pest control, including gullies, steep slopes, commercial forests, small parks and reserves (both private and public). To achieve this predator-free aspiration, pest control cannot be based on affordability, biodiversity protection and TB control. Operationally, UAVs provide substantial cost and time-saving advantages over larger conventional aircraft and ground-control methods. They can fly to designated sites using pre-programmed GIS coordinates in a fraction of the time it would take a person placing traps or bait stations on the ground. Delivering baits in precise loads to specific sites using small versatile UAVs could transform pest control. Further, UAVs are easily transportable, especially to remote locations such as small offshore islands or steep gullies in broken terrain. We believe a directed and targeted approach using UAVs has the potential to significantly reduce pest control costs while improving effectiveness. Without such genuinely novel approaches, predator-free New Zealand will remain an unachievable dream.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Author contribution: CGM, developed the concept and designed the manuscript: 65%; JB, RH and IM provided key information and helped revise the manuscript, 10% each; and DH and DM provided key intellectual support 2.5% each.

Authors	Contribution	ACI
CGM	0.65	9.286
JB	0.1	0.556
RH	0.1	0.556
IM	0.1	0.556
DH	0.025	0.128
DM	0.025	0.128

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Linton Winder and two anonymous reviewers for their feedback and comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Amazon (2017) Amazon Prime Air. <https://www.amazon.com/Amazon-Prime-Air/b?node=8037720011>

Armstrong DP, Gorman N, Pike R, Kreigenhofer B, McArthur N, Govella S, Barrett P, Richard Y (2014) Strategic rat control for restoring populations of native species in forest fragments. *Conservation Biology* 28(3): 713–723. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12256>

Bagheri N (2017) Development of a high-resolution aerial remote-sensing system for precision agriculture. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38(8-10): 2053–2065.

Baigent-Mercer D (2015) Northland forests dying of neglect - Forest and Bird. <http://www.radionz.co.nz/news/national/288322/northland-forests-dying-of-neglect-forest-and-bird>

Blackie HM, MacKay JWB, Allen WJ, Smith DHV, Barrett B, Whyte BI, Murphy EC, Ross J, Shapiro L (2014) Innovative developments for long-term mammalian pest control. *Pest Management Science* 70(3): 345–351. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3627>

Blackie H, MacKay J, Barrett B, Inder S, MacMorran D, Bothwell J, Clout M, Eason C (2016) A novel device for controlling Brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) New Zealand Journal of Ecology 40(1): 60–64. <https://doi.org/10.20417/nzj ecol.40.6>

Byrom AE, Innes J, Binny RN (2016) A review of biodiversity outcomes from possum-focused pest control in New Zealand. *Wildlife Research* 43(3): 228–253. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR15132>

- Carter A, Barr S, Bond C, Paske G, Peters D, van Dam R (2016) Controlling sympatric pest mammal populations in New Zealand with self-resetting, toxicant-free traps: a promising tool for invasive species management. *Biological Invasions* 18(6): 1723–1736. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-016-1115-4>
- Chrétien, L-P, Théau J, Ménard P (2016) Visible and thermal infrared remote sensing for the detection of white-tailed deer using an unmanned aerial system. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 40(1): 181–191. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.629>
- Christensen BR (2015) Use of UAV or remotely piloted aircraft and forward-looking infrared in forest, rural and wildland fire management: evaluation using simple economic analysis. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* 45(1): 16.
- Christensen BR, Herries D, Hartley R (2017) Unpublished Report. Integration of “drones” / UAVs/RPAs and smartphones with current wildfire management tools: case study of the Canterbury/Hastings 2017 fires.
- Choi H, Conole D, Atkinson DJ, Laita O, Jay-Smith M, Pagano MA, Ribaud G, Cavalli M, Bova S, Hopkins B, Brimble MA, Rennison D (2016) Fatty Acid-Derived Pro-Toxicants of the Rat Selective Toxicant Norbormide. *Chemistry and Biodiversity* 13(6): 762–775. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201500241>
- Clout MN (1977) The ecology of the possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula* Kerr) in *Pinus radiata* plantations. PhD. Thesis, University of Auckland.
- Cowan P (2016) Characteristics and behaviour of brushtail possums initially moving into a depopulated area. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 43(3): 223–233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03014223.2016.1150863>
- Dandois JP, Ellis EC (2013) High spatial resolution three-dimensional mapping of vegetation spectral dynamics using computer vision. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 136: 259–276.
- Department of Conservation (2016a) Statement of Intent 2016–2020. Annual Report, Wellington, Department of Conservation, 147 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.04.005>
- Department of Conservation (2016b) Four year plan. Budget 2016. Wellington, Department of Conservation, 63 pp.
- Eason CT, Ogilvie S (2009) A re-evaluation of potential rodenticides for aerial control of rodents. Department of Conservation Research & Development Series 312, 33 pp.
- Eason CT, Shapiro L, Ogilvie SC, Clout M (2015) Trends in vertebrate pesticide use and the importance of a research pipeline for mammalian pest control in New Zealand. Cawthron Report 2754, 50 pp.
- Ehsani R, Maja JM (2013) The rise of small UAVs in precision agriculture. *Resource: Engineering and Technology for Sustainable World* 20(4): 18–19.
- Eisenbeiss H (2009) UAV photogrammetry. PhD. Thesis, University of Technology Dresden for Institut für Geodäsie und Photogrammetrie, Zurich, 64 p.
- Elliott G, Kemp J (2016) Large-scale pest control in New Zealand beech forests. *Ecological Management and Restoration* 17(3): 200–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emr.12227>
- Faiçal BS, Costa FG, Pessin G, Ueyama J, Freitas H, Colombo A, Fini PH, Villas L, Osório FS, Vargas PA, Braun T (2014) The use of unmanned aerial vehicles and wireless sensor networks for spraying pesticides. *Journal of Systems Architecture* 60(4): 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysarc.2014.01.004>

- Gago J, Douthe C, Coopman RE, Gallego PP, Ribas-Carbo M, Flexas J, Escalona J, Medrano H (2015) UAVs challenge to assess water stress for sustainable agriculture. *Agricultural Water Management* 153: 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.01.020>
- Goldson SL, Bourdôt GW, Brockerhoff E G, Byrom AE, Clout MN, McGlone MS, Nelson WA, Popay AJ, Suckling DM, Templeton MD (2015) New Zealand pest management: Current and future challenges. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 45(1): 31–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03036758.2014.1000343>
- Gonzalez LF, Montes GA, Puig E, Johnson S, Mengersen K, Gaston KJ (2016) Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and artificial intelligence revolutionizing wildlife monitoring and conservation. *Sensors* 16(1): 97. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16010097>
- Gormley AM, Holland EP, Pech RP, Thomson C, Reddiex B (2012) Impacts of an invasive herbivore on indigenous forests. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 49: 1296–1305. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02219.x>
- Gormley AM, Forsyth DM, Wright E, Lyall J, Elliott M, Martini M, Kappers B, Perry M, McKay M (2015) Cost-effective large-scale occupancy-abundance monitoring of invasive brushtail possums (*Trichosurus Vulpecula*) on New Zealand’s public conservation land. *PLoS ONE* 10(6): e0127693. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127693>
- Griffiths JW, Barron MC (2016) Spatiotemporal changes in relative rat (*Rattus rattus*) abundance following large-scale pest control. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 40(3): 371–380. <https://doi.org/10.20417/nzjecol.40.33>
- Hartley R (2017) Unmanned aerial vehicles in forestry - reaching for a new perspective. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry* 62(1): 31–39.
- Heaphy M, Watt MS, Dash JP, Pearse GD (2017) UAVs for data collection - plugging the gap. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry* 62(1): 23–30.
- Holland EP, Pech RP, Ruscoe WA, Parkes JP, Nugent G, Duncan RP (2013) Thresholds in plant-herbivore interactions: Predicting plant mortality due to herbivore browse damage. *Oecologia* 172(3): 751–766. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2523-5>
- Holmes ND, Campbell KJ, Keitt BS, Griffiths R, Beek J, Donlan CJ, Broome KG (2015) Reporting costs for invasive vertebrate eradications. *Biological Invasions* 17(10): 2913–2925. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-0920-5>
- Honkavaara E, Hakala T, Nevalainen O, Viljanen N, Rosnell T, Khoramshahi E, Näsi R, Oliveira R, and Tommaselli A (2016) Geometric and reflectance signature characterization of complex canopies using hyperspectral stereoscopic images from UAV and terrestrial platforms. Conference Paper: 23rd International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences Congress, ISPRS 2016, Prague, 12 July 2016 through 19 July 2016.
- Huang J, Sun, Y-H, Wang M-Y, Zhang D-D, Sada R, Li M (2017) Juvenile tree classification based on hyperspectral image acquired from an unmanned aerial vehicle. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38(8-10): 2273–2295.
- Huang Y, Hoffmann WC, Lan Y, Wu W, Fritz BK (2009) Development of a spray system for an unmanned aerial vehicle platform. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture* 25(6): 803–809. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.29229>
- Innes J, King C, Bartlam S, Forrester G, Howitt R (2015) Predator control improves nesting success in Waikato forest fragments. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 39(2): 245–253.

- Inoue T, Nagai S, Yamashita S, Fadaei H, Ishii R, Okabe K, Taki H, Honda Y, Kajiwaru K, Suzuki R (2014) Unmanned aerial survey of fallen trees in a deciduous broadleaved forest in eastern Japan. *PLoS ONE*: 9(10): e109881. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109881>
- Jacobson C, Stephens A (2009) Cross-cultural approaches to environmental research and management: a response to the dualisms inherent in Western science? *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 39(4): 159–162. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03014220909510570>
- Jones HP, Holmes ND, Butchart SHM, Tershy BR, Kappes PJ, Corkery I, Aguirre-Muñoz A, Armstrong DP, Bonnaud E, Burbidge AA, Campbell K, Courchamp F, Cowan PE, Cuthbert RJ, Ebbert S, Genovesi P, Howald GR, Keitt BS, Kress SW, Miskelly CM, Oppel S, Poncet S, Rauzon RJ, Rocamora G, Russell JC, Samaniego-Herrera J, Seddon PJ, Spatz DR, Towns DR, Croll DA (2016) Invasive mammal eradication on islands results in substantial conservation gains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(15): 4033–4038. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1521179113>
- Keber AW (1983) An enquiry into the economic significance of possum damage in an exotic forest near Taupo. PhD Thesis, University of Auckland.
- King CM, Moller H (1997) Distribution and response of rats *Rattus rattus* *R. exulans* to seed-fall in New Zealand beech forests. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 3: 143–155. <https://doi.org/10.1071/PC970143>
- Kopardekar PH (2014) Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) Traffic Management (UTM): Enabling Low-Altitude Airspace and UAS Operations. <https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/20140013436.pdf>
- Knight R (2016) Surveying and mapping: the right tools for the job. *Inside Unmanned systems* (June/July), 8–22.
- LINZ (2017) Open data for a Predator Free NZ. <http://www.linz.govt.nz/news/2017-03/open-data-for-predator-free-nz>
- Lehmann JRK., Nieberding F, Prinz T, Knoth C (2015) Analysis of unmanned aerial system-based CIR images in forestry-a new perspective to monitor pest infestation levels. *Forests* 6(3): 594–612. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f6030594>
- Lisein J, Pierrot-Deseilligny M, Bonnet S, Lejeune P (2013) A photogrammetric workflow for the creation of a forest canopy height model from small unmanned aerial system imagery. *Forests* 4(4): 922–944. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f4040922>
- Martínez J, Egea G, Agüera J, Pérez-Ruiz M (2017) A cost-effective canopy temperature measurement system for precision agriculture: a case study on sugar beet. *Precision Agriculture* 18(1): 95–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-016-9470-9>
- Millard K, Richardson M (2015) On the importance of training data sample selection in random forest image classification: A case study in peatland ecosystem mapping. *Remote sensing* 7(7): 8489–8515. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70708489>
- Mogg T (2017) Weather warrior: DJI's new Matrice M200 drone can fly in rain or snow. <https://www.digitaltrends.com/cool-tech/dji-matrice-200>
- Morgan D, Nugent G, Warburton B (2006) Benefits and feasibility of local elimination of possum populations. *Wildlife Research* 33: 605–614. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR06055>
- Müllerová J, Bartaloš T, Brůna J, Dvořák P, Vítková M (2017) Unmanned aircraft in nature conservation: an example from plant invasions. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38(8-10): 2177–2198. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2016.1275059>

- Näsi R, Honkavaara E, Lyytikäinen-Saarenmaa P, Blomqvist M, Litkey P, Hakala T, Viljanen N, Kantola T, Tanhuanpää T, Holopainen M (2015) Using UAV-based photogrammetry and hyperspectral imaging for mapping bark beetle damage at tree-level. *Remote Sensing* 7(11): 15467–15493. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs71115467>
- Niemiec RM, Pech RP, Norbury GL, Byrom AE (2017) Landowners' perspectives on coordinated, landscape-level invasive species control: the role of social and ecological context. *Environmental Management* 59(3): 477–489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-016-0807-y>
- Nugent G, Morriss GA (2013) Delivery of toxic bait in clusters: a modified technique for aerial poisoning of small mammal pests. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 37(2): 246–255.
- Nugent G, Warburton B, Thomson C, Cross ML, Coleman MC (2012) Bait aggregation to reduce cost and toxin use in aerial 1080 baiting of small mammal pests in New Zealand. *Pest Management Science* 68(10): 1374–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3315>
- OSPRI (2016) Annual Review 2015/2016. Unpublished report, Wellington, 100 pp.
- Panagiotidis D, Abdollahnejad A, Surový P, Chiteculo V (2017) Determining tree height and crown diameter from high-resolution UAV imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38(8-10): 2392–2410.
- Parkes JP, Nugent G, Forsyth DM, Byrom AE, Pech RP, Warburton B, Choquenot D (2017) Past, present and two potential futures for managing New Zealand's mammalian pests. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 41(1): 151–161.
- Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment (2011) Evaluating the use of 1080: predators, poisons and silent forests. Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment, Wellington.
- Patterson J (2017) Is ADS-B The Future of Drone Safety? <https://www.heliguy.com/blog/2017/03/09/is-ads-b-the-future-of-drone-safety>
- Payton IJ, Pekelharing CJ, Frampton CM (1999) A Foliar Browse Index: a method for monitoring possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) damage to plant species and forest communities. Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research, Lincoln, New Zealand.
- Pekelharing CJ, Parkes JP, Barker RJ (1998) Possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) densities and impacts on Fuchsia (*Fuchsia excorticata*) in south Westland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal Ecology* 22: 197–203.
- Perlman A (2017) Inside BVLOS, the Drone Industry's Next Game-Changer. <https://uavcoach.com/inside-bvlos>
- Perroy RL, Sullivan T, Stephenson N (2017) Assessing the impacts of canopy openness and flight parameters on detecting a sub-canopy tropical invasive plant using a small unmanned aerial system. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 125: 174–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2017.01.018>
- Peters MA, Hamilton D, Eames C, Innes J, Mason NWH (2016) The current state of community-based environmental monitoring in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 40(3): 279–288. <https://doi.org/10.20417/nzj ecol.40.37>
- Price C (2017) Flight Operations Inspector RPAS, Civil Aviation Authority of New Zealand.
- Pullanagari RR, Kereszturi G, Yule IJ (2016) Mapping of macro and micro nutrients of mixed pastures using airborne AisaFENIX hyperspectral imagery. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 117: 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.010>

- Rangel RK (2016) Development of an UAVS distribution tools for pest's biological control Bug Bombs! IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings: 27 June 2016, Article number 7500685. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AERO.2016.7500685>
- Rasmussen J, Nielsen J, Garcia-Ruiz F, Christensen S, Streibig JC (2013) Potential uses of small unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) in weed research. *Weed Research* 53(4): 242–248. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12026>
- Reed L (2015) Fire-starting drone could aid in conservation, fire prevention. <http://news.unl.edu/newsrooms/today/article/fire-starting-drone-could-aid-in-conservation-fire-prevention/>
- Russell JC, Innes JG, Brown PH, Byrom AE (2015) Predator-free New Zealand: conservation country. *Bioscience* 65(5): 520–525. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biv012>
- Salamí E, Barrado C, Pastor E (2014) UAV flight experiments applied to the remote sensing of vegetated areas. *Remote Sensing* 6(11): 11051–11081. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs6111051>
- Severtson D, Callow N, Flower K, Neuhaus A, Olejnik M, Nansen C (2016) Unmanned aerial vehicle canopy reflectance data detects potassium deficiency and green peach aphid susceptibility in canola. *Precision Agriculture* 17(6): 659–677. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-016-9442-0>
- Shahbazi M, Théau J, Ménard P (2014) Recent applications of unmanned aerial imagery in natural resource management. *GIScience and Remote Sensing* 51(4): 339–365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2014.926650>
- Shang K, Zhang X, Sun Y-L, Zhang L-F, Wang S-D, Zhuang Z (2015) Sophisticated vegetation classification based on feature band set using hyperspectral image. *Guang Pu Xue Yu Guang Pu Fen Xi/Spectroscopy and Spectral Analysis* 35(6): 1669–1676.
- Shapiro L, MacMorran D, Ross J, Eason CT (2016) Early field experience with microencapsulated zinc phosphide paste for possum ground control in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 40(1): 386–389. <https://doi.org/10.20417/nzj ecol.40.31>
- Simonson WD, Allen HD, Coomes DA (2012) Use of an airborne LiDAR system to model plant species composition and diversity of Mediterranean oak forests. *Conservation Biology* 26(5): 840–850. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2012.01869.x>
- Strecha C, Küng O, Fua P (2012) Automatic mapping from ultra-light UAV imagery. In: Euro-COW Conference. <https://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/175351>
- Sweetapple P, Nugent G (1999) Provenance variation in fuchsia (*Fuchsia excorticata*) in relation to palatability to possums. *New Zealand Journal Ecology* 23: 1–10.
- Sweetapple PJ, Nugent G (2011) Reliable mop-up of surviving pests: a more cost-effective and fail-safe approach to local extirpation. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 35(2): 193.
- Sweetapple PJ, Nugent G, Whitford J, Latham MC, Pekelharing K (2016) Long-term response of temperate canopy trees to removal of browsing from an invasive arboreal herbivore in New Zealand. *Austral Ecology* 41(5): 538–548. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12343>
- Torresan C, Berton A, Carotenuto F, Di Gennaro SF, Gioli B, Matese A, Miglietta F, Vagnoli C, Zaldei A, Wallace L (2017) Forestry applications of UAVs in Europe: a review. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38(8-10): 2427–2447.
- Watt MS, Heaphy M, Dunningham A, Rolando C (2017) Use of remotely sensed data to characterize weed competition in forest plantations. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38(8-10): 2448–2463.

- Windley HR, Barron MC, Holland EP, Starrs D, Ruscoe WA, Foley WJ (2016) Foliar nutritional quality explains patchy browsing damage caused by an invasive mammal. *PLoS ONE* 11(5): e0155216. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155216>
- Wing BM, Ritchie MW, Boston K, Cohen WB, Gitelman A, Olsen MJ (2012) Prediction of understory vegetation cover with airborne LiDAR in an interior Ponderosa pine forest. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 124: 730–741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.06.024>
- Zahawi RA, Dandois JP, Holl KD, Nadwodny D, Reid JL, Ellis EC (2015) Using lightweight unmanned aerial vehicles to monitor tropical forest recovery. *Biological Conservation* 186: 287–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.03.031>